

DRAFT

**The contribution of algal biomass to oxygen demand in the San Joaquin
River Deep Water Channel, fall 2000**

By

P. W. Lehman, J. Giulianotti and J. Sevier
Department of Water Resources
Environmental Services Offices
3251 S Street
Sacramento, CA 95816
Phone: (916) 227-7551
Email: plehman@water.ca.gov

October 31, 2001

DRAFT

Executive Summary

This report describes the results of research conducted in 2000 to determine the source of algal biomass and its relative contribution to oxygen demand in the San Joaquin River deep water channel downstream of Stockton during the fall. The research was funded by a CALFED Ecosystem Restoration Category III grant #99-B16 to the author and was conducted to obtain information needed by CALFED, the public, government agencies, and San Joaquin River stakeholders to characterize and determine the cause of oxygen depletion in the river.

The research was designed to address the following questions:

- Was the oxygen depletion in the DWC caused by physical stratification that prevented mixing?
- What is the relative contribution of algal biomass from in situ growth and upstream load to oxygen demand in the Stockton Deep Water Channel (DWC) ?
- What is the oxygen demand from algal biomass compared with other oxygen demanding substances?
- Are the load of oxygen demanding substances from Vernalis and Mossdale representative of the load that actually enters the DWC ?
- What mechanisms influence the impact of algal load and growth on oxygen demand?
- How well does the current semi-monthly DWR Channel Program characterize the oxygen conditions and algal biomass in the DWC compared with continuous monitoring?

Research demonstrated the importance of both upstream load and local growth to algal biomass in the DWC between Turner Cut and Navigation Light 48 where the net oxygen demand from algal biomass was 2 to 4 mg/L. The algal load from growth in the DWC was at least half of that from upstream and reached 100-300 kg/day as chlorophyll *a*. Algal biomass was primarily composed of a mixed assemblage of diatoms and was correlated with carbonaceous biochemical oxygen demand, but not total oxygen demand that increased seasonally.

The influence of algal growth on oxygen demand in the DWC was controlled by turbidity. Algal growth was light limited in the DWC where algae achieve about half of the light needed to achieve maximum growth rate. Light limitation was a function of low water transparency produced by high suspended sediment concentration and was strongly influenced by the high retention of both total suspended sediment and volatile suspended solids from upstream in the study reach. Unlike many aquatic environments, algal growth was not limited by macronutrients such as dissolved inorganic nitrogen and orthophosphate that were an order of magnitude higher than limiting levels.

Most of the biochemical oxygen demand in the DWC was produced by nitrogenous material. At Turner Cut, Rough and Ready Island and Channel Point nitrogenous BOD consistently comprised 50% to 80% of the oxygen demand. The discharge of ammonia

DRAFT

from the City of Stockton Regional Control Facility (RWCF) is a known source of nitrogenous oxygen demand in the deep water channel and increases between September and November. Ammonia from the Stockton RWCF probably drives the increase in nitrogenous BOD in September through November. This study also measured consistently high loads of non-ammonia Kjeldahl nitrogen from upstream that were often many times larger than the nitrogen load from ammonia. Nitrogenous material contributed about half of the oxygen demand upstream at Mossdale. Carbon to nitrogen ratios at Mossdale were too low to be produced by algae alone. Total BOD at Turner Cut and Rough and Ready Island in the DWC was significantly correlated with both nitrogenous BOD, total Kjeldahl nitrogen and ammonia concentration. Total BOD was not correlated with carbonaceous oxygen demand in the DWC, as would be expected if the primary source of oxygen demand was from algae.

The enhanced continuous water quality monitoring network developed in 2000 was far superior than discrete measurements at quantifying maxima and minima values and temporal variability of algal load and dissolved oxygen concentration. The continuous monitors measured somewhat lower dissolved oxygen at the bottom than the surface, even in this wet year. In addition, the continuous monitors demonstrated the consistent diel variation of dissolved oxygen of 4 mg/L to 7 mg/L that could affect compliance with the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency and CA Regional Water Quality Control Board standards and management alternatives. Upstream monitoring stations demonstrated the oxygen deficit in the DWC was primarily a function of processes in the channel and not imported from upstream.

Recommendations included: 1) separate the oxygen demand from algal respiration and nitrification of nitrogen by bacteria in growth studies, 2) conduct 2000 studies in a critically dry year when algal biomass, residence time, water temperature and oxygen demand are highest, 3) conduct more thorough studies to quantify the magnitude and identify the causes of decreased algal biomass, material load and biochemical oxygen demand between the upstream load at Vernalis and the deep water channel, 4) further evaluate the influence of both temperature and water transparency on algal growth and 5) gain a better understanding of the relative importance of nitrogenous and carbon load to the oxygen demand throughout the summer and fall.

DRAFT

Table of Contents

Executive summary	2
I. Introduction	9
II. Water quality conditions in fall 2000	11
Discrete profiles horizontal profiles	
Discrete vertical profiles	
Continuous profiles	
III. Evaluation of the continuous monitoring network	36
IV. Load of oxygen demand substances	37
Algal load in DWC	
Historical pattern	37
Growth in the channel	40
Tidal load to DWC	46
Upstream load	
Algal	47
Non-algal	50
Downstream load	
Algal	55
Non-algal	58
V. Mass balance	60
VI. Controlling mechanisms	
Sources of oxygen demand	64
Tide	73
Light	73
Algal species composition	81
VII. Summary	86
VIII. Recommendations	89
IX. Citations	91
X. Appendix	
A. Table of methods for analytical measurements	93
B. Calibration curves for chlorophyll fluorescence	94

DRAFT

Figures

Fig. II-1. Map of deep water channel in lower San Joaquin River.

Fig. II-2. Average surface dissolved oxygen concentration measured between Prisoner's Point and the Turning Basin.

Fig. II-3. Average surface water temperature measured between Prisoner's Point and the Turning Basin.

Fig. II-4. Average surface specific conductance measured between Prisoner's Point and the Turning Basin.

Fig. II-5. Average chlorophyll *a* concentration measured between Prisoner's Point and the Turning Basin.

Fig. II-6. Oxygen deficit for the U. S. EPA 5 mg/L standard in the water column between Prisoner's Point and Turning Basin.

Fig. II-7. Oxygen deficit of the water column for the RWQCB standard of 6 mg/L between Prisoner's Point to the Turning Basin.

Fig. II-8. Vertical profiles of water quality variables for station 24 at Turner Cut (a), station 43 at Rough and Ready Island (b), station 50 at the Turning Basin (c) and station 51 at Channel Point (d) on August 14.

Fig. II-9. Vertical profiles of water quality variables for station 24 at Turner Cut (a), station 43 at Rough and Ready Island (b), station 50 at the Turning Basin (c) and station 51 at Channel Point (d) on October 12.

Fig. II-10. Continuous surface water quality measurements for station 43 at Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. II-11. Continuous bottom water quality measurements for station 43 at Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. II-12. Dissolved oxygen measured at the surface and bottom for station 43 at Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. II-13. Continuous water quality measurements collected for station 24 at Turner Cut.

Fig. II-14. Continuous water quality measurements collected for station 51 at Channel Point.

Fig. II-15. Continuous surface water quality measurements at Mossdale.

DRAFT

Fig. II-16. Continuous surface water quality measurements collected for station 50 at Turning Basin .

Figure II-17. Near bottom continuous water quality data collected for station 50 at Turning Basin.

Fig. IV-1. Chlorophyll *a* concentration measured at Rough and Ready Island between 1970 and 2000.

Fig. IV-2. Chlorophyll *a* load calculated for Vernalis between 1970 and 2000.

Fig. IV-4. Measured oxygen demand in the study reach.

Fig. IV-6. Ammonia concentration and the ultimate oxygen demand from ammonia between July and November in the deep water channel at station 43, Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. IV-7. Net daily chlorophyll *a* load at station 51, Channel Point.

Fig. IV-8. Difference in net daily load at Vernalis, Mossdale and Channel Point.

Fig. IV-9. Net tidal day load at Channel Point calculated from discrete tidal water quality measurements.

Fig. IV-10. Comparison of tidal day load of a suite of water quality variables at Channel Point station 51 and Mossdale station 55.

Fig. IV-11. Net tidal day load at Vernalis. Water Quality data were collected by the City of Stockton.

Fig. IV-12. Net daily chlorophyll *a* load calculated from continuous fluorometry and adcp flow data at station 43, Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. IV-13. Tidal day load for a suite of water quality variables calculated for station 43 at Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. V-1. Comparison of net tidal day loads of organic and inorganic materials measured at Channel Point and Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. V-2. Seasonal load of a suite of water quality variables calculated from discrete tidal day water quality measurements for station 51 at Channel Point and station 43 at Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. VI-1. Comparisons of 10day total and carbonaceous BOD of water samples in the DWC at Turner Cut and Rough and Ready Island and upstream input stations at Turning Basin and Channel Point.

DRAFT

Fig. VI-2. Association between BOD10 and either ammonia or chlorophyll *a* concentration for four stations in and around the DWC during 2000.

Fig. VI-3. Characteristic photosynthesis versus average daily light intensity curve plotted for station 43 near Rough and Ready Island. Specific production rate is in units of ug oxygen/ ug chlorophyll *a* / hour.

Fig. VI-4. Characteristic light extinction in the water column at station 43 at Rough and Ready Island measured on September 28, 2000.

Fig. VI-5. Depth of euphotic zone or 1% surface light attenuation at station 24 near Turner Cut and station 43 near Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. VI-6. Maximum specific production rate measured during light saturation experiments for Mossdale (MD), Channel Point (CP), Turner Cut (TC), Rough and Ready (RR) and Turning Basin (TB).

Fig. VI-7. Actual versus optimal percent surface irradiance for algal growth at station 43 near Rough and Ready Island.

Fig. VI-8. Average maximum specific production rate and initial slopes measured during light saturation experiments for Mossdale (MD), Channel Point (CP), Turner Cut (TC), Rough and Ready (RR) and Turning Basin (TB).

Fig. VI-9. Percent composition by algal group among months for station 24 near Turner Cut, station 43 near Rough and Ready Island, station 50 near Turning Basin, and station 51 upstream of Channel Point.

Fig. VI-10. Algal species composition measured on August 14 in the DWC and adjoining stations on August 14, 2000.

Fig. VI-11. Algal species composition and density in the DWC and input stations measured on August 24, 2000.

Fig. VI-12. Algal species density and composition in the DWC and nearby measured on September 6, 2000.

DRAFT

Tables

Table IV-3. Net production rate, respiration rate, oxygen demand and chlorophyll *a* load from algal growth in the DWC calculated from light/dark bottle incubation measurements of community production rate.

Table VI – 4 a. Pearson correlation coefficients calculated among water quality variables measured at Turner Cut station 24 between July and November 2000. Coefficients are significant at either the 0.05 level (regular type) or 0.01 level (bold type).

Table VI –4 b . Pearson correlation coefficients calculated among water quality variables measured at Rough and Ready Island station 43 between July and November 2000. Coefficients are significant at either the 0.05 level (regular type) or 0.01 level (bold type).

Table VI – 4 c. Pearson correlation coefficients calculated among water quality variables measured at Channel Point station 51 between July and November 2000. Coefficients are significant at either the 0.05 level (regular type) or 0.01 level (bold type).

Table VI – 4 d . Pearson correlation coefficients calculated among water quality variables measured at Turning Basin station 50 between July and November 2000. Coefficients are significant at either the 0.05 level (regular type) or 0.01 level (bold type).

Table IV-5. Daily net production rate, respiration rate, oxygen demand and chlorophyll *a* load from algal growth in the DWC calculated by equation using chlorophyll *a* concentration, surface irradiance and extinction coefficient.

Table VI – 4 a. Pearson correlation coefficients calculated among water quality variables measured at Turner Cut station 24 between July and November 2000. Coefficients are significant at either the 0.05 level (regular type) or 0.01 level (bold type).

Table VI –4 b . Pearson correlation coefficients calculated among water quality variables measured at Rough and Ready Island station 43 between July and November 2000. Coefficients are significant at either the 0.05 level (regular type) or 0.01 level (bold type).

Table VI – 4 c. Pearson correlation coefficients calculated among water quality variables measured at Channel Point station 51 between July and November 2000. Coefficients are significant at either the 0.05 level (regular type) or 0.01 level (bold type).

Table VI – 4 d . Pearson correlation coefficients calculated among water quality variables measured at Turning Basin station 50 between July and November 2000. Coefficients are significant at either the 0.05 level (regular type) or 0.01 level (bold type).