

**SJR DO TMDL Executive Committee Meeting Agenda - DRAFT 2**  
**May 12, 2003 8:30-10:30 am**  
**Conference Call: 510-528-5802.**

**I. DRAFT Objectives for Executive Committee Meeting**

**A. Status of work assignments**

Need mechanisms for stakeholder involvements.

Working groups - nuts and bolts

How much do CALFED want to use working groups for input.

Need for a stakeholder group that goes beyond DO

Stormwater, pesticides, salt and boron, stormwater, other TMDLs

Create an integrated basin plan amendment

Bring together all consolidated RFP. How do they help the valley overall.

Who did submit concept proposals for what purpose?

Announce to list to bring.

How do some of these fit into the DO process

Possible letter of support.

SWRCB - proposals public

Which proposals match up with DO concept.

Central Valley Watershed Coalition exists now

Working group for DO, BMPs, Barrier Operations

Coordination of other non-aeration alternatives

Technical

Administration/policy

Work assignments as agenda item 1

What do you envision stakeholder involvement in each of those work assignments.

**A. Roles and responsibilities for different entities and stakeholders**

1. Review the draft outline prepared by Mark Gowdy. What additional information is needed to finalize this draft?

Who will make what decisions

Does the regional board and calfed hope that the stakeholders will help develop the no action, and other alternatives including the preferred alterantive for the longterm implementation plan?

## Long Term Implementation Plan

URS - June 8 draft  
June 15 second draft

Functional equivalent

Have a separate meeting?

Rod - meeting room that afternoon.

Draft for implementation strategy - June 30

Jeanne Chilcott - when can public see the proposals

2. What should happen at the May 22 Steering Committee meeting on this subject? Will there be another time for the stakeholders to provide input? Who makes the final decisions?

3. Based on its potential role, should there be a Steering Committee and should it meet in June? What would be lost if it didn't exist or didn't meet for a while?

Information sharing on DO related issues

SDIP

USGS studies on groundwater

### **B. Planning and Coordination Team's Timeline and Tasks for Next Two Months**

1. Review the planning material that Tom Trexler and team have developed to date. What feedback do they need from the stakeholders? Will additional material be made available before May 22?

Round robin session to discuss what the stakeholders would like to see their roles.

Clear objectives

Will there be a TAC, Working Group, Steering Committee

How do stakeholders interact with the work assignments

a. Listen to briefings on status of work, discussion and input.

Public meeting

b. Continue as we have in the past.

Criteria for Focused PSP

Status reports on upstream studies

2. Clarify what meetings are needed over the next few months, what are the meeting objectives and who should be at each meeting. Schedule as many meetings as possible to give potential participants lead time.

June 30 end of fiscal year. What can be completed.

What new work assignments should begin July 1.

Timeline for each work assignment for the end of the fiscal year.

3. Review the options for advancing the Non-Aeration Feasibility Studies task. Should it be delayed or advanced immediately? Are there any issues involved in this task that should be reviewed by the Steering Committee before being advanced?

Will try to get out a new draft work assignment.

Submission for new work assignments for next fiscal year. May 22. for June 11 BDA meeting.

Outlines of the next year work assignments

8500 cfs will not harm the DO problem - Byron Buck

4. Understand how the follow up tasks and work assignments will be developed so that the current ones lead seamlessly into the long term tasks. .

### **C. City of Turlock's Funding**

1. Decide whether to use the \$5000 from the City of Turlock to pay for Kevin Wolf's time to prepare for, facilitate and take notes for the May and June Steering and Executive Committee meetings. If this is supported, Kevin will send an invoice to Dan Madden and a copy to the Executive Committee.

### **D. Determine objectives of the May 22 Steering Committee Meeting**

1. Review the draft set of meeting objectives (below). Is anything missing?

2. Which of these objectives could be dealt with in smaller groups or by email?

## **II. May 22 Steering Committee Meeting Objectives - Draft 1**

### **A. Planning and Coordination Team** (Tom Trexler and Mark Gowdy)

1. Review the draft outline that is developed after the Executive Committee on the roles and responsibilities for the Regional Board, Bay Delta Authority, the Planning Team and the stakeholders, both as individual entities and as a Steering Committee. What significant decisions will be made by which of these different groups over the next 1-5 years? What roles will each likely undertake?

2. Discuss the positives and negatives of continuing to have a Steering Committee and what are the alternatives if it ceases to continue? Determine if the Steering Committee should continue. If it does, should it meet on June 26?
3. Finalize dates for additional committee and working group meetings including the next Executive Committee meeting.

**B. Non-Aeration Feasibility Studies** (Barbara Marcotte and Tom Trexler).

1. Update on this work assignment and the Bay Delta Authority's process post June 30. What are the ramifications of a delay? Can studies be in the field in 2004 or will they need to wait until 2005?
2. Review any work plans and timelines for the Non Aeration Feasibility Studies, if they are to be immediately pursued.

**C. City of Turlock's Contribution**

1. Review the Executive Committee's decision on utilizing the City of Turlock's \$5000

**D. Port of Stockton's West Complex**

1. Review the timeline, parameters and steps for this project. (Rod Atteberry)  
call Rod
2. Determine how to advise the Port on the best way they can utilize the pounds of O2 they would provide to the DWSC as part of their West Complex project. Should a TAC meeting include this as an agenda item?
3. Update on jet aeration transfer.

**E. Upstream Monitoring** (Joe McGahan and Barbara Marcotte)

1. Update on the upstream monitoring proposal that was turned into CALFED on March 13. Review the timeline and steps until a contract is signed. When is the earliest that new monitoring studies will be in the field? Is there anything else the Steering Committee should do on this?

Peer Reviewers for upstream and standing panel

Beasley, Ritter, BJ Miller, Allan Jassby, Horne and Steve Chapra (modeling expertise) .  
May 16 - 4 reviews  
Mark Roberson - reviewing it for Tom Gohring, water use efficiency  
Isotope component reviewer

2. What will be the process for public involvement in the responding to the peer review comments on the upstream study proposal?  
Comments consolidated and summarized  
Then goes to selection panel - agencies plus regional board.  
After this, 30 day public comment  
Decision to approve delegated by Authority to Patrick Wright

**F. South Delta Improvement Project.** (Paul Marshall?)

1. Update on the SDIP. What is the decision making process and timeline for this project? When can the Steering Committee best influence the outcome so that DO issues are properly addressed? What is the process for further analyzing the contributing factor of flow under current and future conditions?

**G. Steering Committee Chair and Vice Chair**

1. If the Steering Committee is going to continue, who should be the Chair and Vice Chair? Should this decision be delayed until the next meeting in June?

2. Appreciation for outgoing chair and vice chair Lowell Ploss and Dan Hinrichs.

USGS ground water around Patterson

Could they include nitrogen and phosphorous testing to their studies.