

SJR DO TMDL Executive Committee
Conference Call Agenda - Draft 2
April 15, 2003 10:30 am - noon
Call in number: 916-373-7476.

I. Conference Call Objectives

A. Should a Steering Committee meeting be held on April 24.

1. Update on Jones and Stokes contract with CALFED. What can JSA and the coordination team do before the 24th?
2. Review agenda items below and determine which ones are worth having a meeting for if the Coordination Team cannot do work before the meeting.
3. Should the meeting occur? Who should do what to prepare for the meeting?

B. If the decision is to cancel the Steering Committee meeting, what should be done?

1. Should stakeholders take action to help the process along?
2. When should the next ExCom and Steering Committee meetings be scheduled? Who should do what before each meeting?
3. What can be done to move things quickly so that the May Steering Committee meeting can be as productive as possible?

II. Possible Objectives for the April 24 Steering Committee Meeting

A. RWQCB March 14 Meeting and Consequences

1. Clarify what happened at the Regional Board meeting. Request the RWQCB report the outcome of the draft staff report and load allocation. Consider inviting a USEPA representative to the meeting to explain their view of load allocations. With what conclusions do all stakeholders agree? What do we disagree on and why?
2. Review tentative timeline at least through the 2004 Basin Plan Amendment. Identify major milestones and products. Who has what responsibility for each milestone and product - Regional Board staff or board, CALFED, Steering Committee, USEPA, individual stakeholders and others?

B. Planning and Coordination Team

1. Update on who will be working with Jones and Stokes and the Planning and Coordination Team. Tom Trexler will clarify his and other Team member's relationship to the Steering Committee, Regional Board and CALFED and explain how the co companies' assignments for other clients will not affect their work on this project.
2. Review the work plan that CALFED has either already been funded or is being considering. How will the transition occur between this contract and the long term

contract so that staff support will not be interrupted? What is to be accomplished in each of the project categories and when different milestones are due?

3. Begin creating a matrix of decisions and actions for which the Steering Committee, TAC, Regional Board, CALFED, Planning Committee or other entities will be responsible. Clarify what else should be done in order to finalize roles and responsibilities of the different parties, at least for the time period through the 2004 BPA.
4. Review what the Planning Team will do before the May 22 Steering Committee meeting. What will be circulated to the listserv or presented to different committees for review before the meeting? Who will be helping the team and in what manner?

C. Developing Non-Aeration Feasibility Studies

1. Review the proposed or agreed upon work plan for Jones and Stokes and team to advance the Non-Aeration Feasibility Studies PSP.
2. What decisions need to be made in order to finalize the timeline and tasks that need to be taken in order to release a Focused PSP? Who will be responsible for the decisions and tasks? What is the role of the Steering Committee in this process?

D. Upstream Monitoring

1. The upstream monitoring proposal was turned into CALFED on March 13. Review the timeline until contract signing. When is the earliest that new monitoring efforts will be in the field? Is there anything else the Steering Committee should do on this?

E. Jet aerator and Aeration Pilot Project

1. Update on timeline for when the aerator can begin being used outside of the existing US Army Corp agreement.
2. What can be done this summer for the pilot aeration project?

F. South Delta Improvement Project.

1. Update on the SDIP. What is the decision making process and timeline for this project? When can the Steering Committee best influence the outcome so that DO issues are properly addressed?
2. Request that DWR or J&S be invited to explain the SDIP alternatives analysis. What is the process for further analyzing the contributing factor of flow under current conditions?

G. 2004 USACE Appropriation for studies involving DWSC deepening.

1. Request that USACE come to the meeting to describe the proposed channel deepening study and what they are considering proposing to use the \$1+ million Congress recently appropriated to them (SF District) to study issues involving channel deepening.

2. This funding needs a 25% local match which could be met through Prop. 13 DO funds. Brainstorm ways in which these Congressional funds can best be used to help in the DO needs. How will a decision be made on what to advance as the preferred proposal to tap into these funds?

H. Steering Committee Chair and Vice Chair

1. Clarify job descriptions for chair and vice chair. How will this change as compared to the past with the help of the Coordination Team? What will be the main issues the chairs and Executive Committee will be dealing with over the next year? The stakeholders may need to define the roles of the steering committee and TAC before nominating a new SC chair and a TAC chair. When will the roles for these committees be developed?

2. Lowell Ploss and Dan Hinrichs are completing their one year term as chair and vice chair and are not planning on continuing in these roles in 2003. New people need to be selected for these roles for the May meeting. Nominations (including self-nominations) will be considered though it is best if nominated person has been spoken with beforehand, especially if self-nominating,-)